

INSPECTOR GENERAL DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

400 ARMY NAVY DRIVE

ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22202-2884

September 11, 1991

MEMORANDUM FOR COMPTROLLER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY (FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT)

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE NAVY (FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT)

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE (FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND COMPTROLLER)

DIRECTOR, DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

DIRECTOR, DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE

SUBJECT: Report on the Audit of Foreign Military Sales Trust Fund Disbursement Reporting (Report No. 91-118)

Introduction

This is our final report on the Audit of Foreign Military Sales Trust Fund Disbursement Reporting, provided for your information and use. The audit was made from August 1990 through March 1991. The overall objective of the audit was to determine whether disbursements from the Foreign Military Sales (FMS) Trust Fund were promptly and accurately reported to the Defense Finance and Accounting Service - Denver Center, formerly the Security Assistance Accounting Center (SAAC). Specifically, we evaluated the quality of the documentation and determined whether finance and accounting offices reported disbursements with sufficient detail to identify the country and case. We also evaluated internal controls established by applicable DoD Components and determined whether the audited activities corrected reporting errors promptly. In addition, we evaluated the Defense Logistics Agency's (DLA's) implementation of the internal management control program required by the Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act (FMFIA) as it pertained to the audit objectives.

Discussion

During FY 1990, DoD disbursed \$9.3 billion for FMS transactions. The Military Departments and SAAC have implemented internal controls to ensure prompt and accurate reporting of FMS Trust Fund disbursements. Transaction records were generally supported by valid documentation that also identified countries and cases properly.

The Army and the Air Force were promptly correcting errors in disbursement records; however, the Navy was not promptly For the 4-month period correcting disbursement transactions. ending October 31, 1990, the Navy's undistributed balance rose \$155.0 million, a \$10.7 million \$144.3 million to million growth in We did not consider \$10.7 increase. significant to the undistributed compared disbursements

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for Public Release Distribution Unlimited

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

AGI 00-10-2920

\$2.5 billion the Navy had disbursed for FMS in FY 1990. regulations require undistributed or unmatched disbursement transactions to be resolved within the next billing cycle. these increased because balances undistributed Navy's other rejected financial commingled transactions were with high priority and were not given а transactions, In addition, the contractor did not meet the correction. contract requirements for correcting disbursement transactions in Management was aware of the contractor's a timely manner. performance because an internal review report from the Navy International Logistics Control Office identified the problem of correcting disbursement transactions. Management took action by requiring the contractor to develop a new quality control plan included an improved system to monitor disbursement transactions and process them promptly.

DLA had implemented the internal management control program required by FMFIA as it pertained to the audit objectives.

Scope of Audit

This program audit was made from August 1990 through March 1991 in accordance with auditing standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, as implemented by the Inspector General, DoD, and accordingly included such tests of internal controls as were considered necessary. Activities visited or contacted during the audit are listed in Enclosure 2.

We reviewed 15,406 net disbursement transactions, valued at \$774.3 million, that were reported to SAAC during June 1990. Using these disbursement transactions, we evaluated the Military Departments' procedures for recording and reporting, and determined the accuracy of documentation. In addition, we obtained SAAC's cumulative list of undistributed disbursement transactions as of June 1990. We used the list to determine whether the Military Departments corrected errors promptly.

Internal Controls

We evaluated the internal controls used by SAAC and the Military Departments to determine whether FMS disbursements were accurately and promptly recorded and reported to SAAC and the Treasury of the United States. We evaluated reconciliations disbursing stations, requests for authority to make expenditures, and reconciliations between SAAC and the Treasury We also reviewed procedures and controls of the United States. Positive Transaction Control and the Case determine whether the systems to Disbursement Departments' disbursement reporting to SAAC had improved and how effective it was. The internal controls applicable to FMS Trust Fund disbursements were deemed effective in that no material deficiencies were disclosed by the audit.

Background

the FMS Program, eligible foreign governments Through purchase Defense articles, services, and training from the U.S. The Arms Export Control Act of 1976, as amended, the sale of Defense articles and requires the authorizes purchasing government to pay all costs associated with a sale. The FMS Trust Fund is credited with receipts from purchasing governments, and funds are held in trust by the Treasury of the SAAC manages the FMS Trust Fund through United States. The Military Departments and the Defense centralized accounting. Logistics Agency use established financial systems disbursement disbursements from the FMS Trust Fund. The transactions are then reported to the Treasury of the United During FY 1990, DoD disbursed \$9.3 billion States and to SAAC. for FMS transactions.

To ensure that FMS disbursements have accurate country and case information, the Military Departments use automated systems to validate disbursement transactions. If a disbursement transaction cannot be identified to a valid country and case, SAAC and the Military Departments classify the disbursement as undistributed.

Prior Audits and Other Reviews

States General Accounting Office (GAO) AFMD-88-75, "Foreign Military Sales: Redirection of Accounting Improvement Efforts Is Appropriate," September 15, 1988, OSD Case 7772, identified major accounting and internal control weaknesses that impair DoD's ability to properly manage the FMS Trust Fund and give accurate statements to customers. According to the GAO, inadequate controls existed over the accuracy of data that the Military Departments submitted to SAAC, and SAAC could not reconcile country-level balances in the FMS Trust Fund with each country's subsidiary case balances. The report recommended that the Secretary of Defense make the Air Force responsible for FMS accounting and reconciliation procedures and clarify the role of the Defense Security Assistance Agency's Reconciliation and Case Closure Board in the reconciliation process. The Secretary of Defense concurred with the recommendation. Satisfactory progress has been made in improving reconciliation procedures.

We provided a draft of this report to the addressees on June 19, 1991. Because we made no recommendations, no comments were required from management, and none were received. Therefore, we are publishing this report in final form. Any comments on this final report should be provided by October 9, 1991.

The courtesies extended to the audit staff are appreciated. Please contact Mr. Alvin L. Madison, Program Director, at (703) 614-1681 (DSN 224-1681) or Mr. F. Jay Lane, Project Manager, at (703) 693-0651 (DSN 223-0651) if you have any questions concerning this report.

Robert J. Lieberman
Assistant Inspector General
for Auditing

Enclosures

cc:
Secretary of the Army
Secretary of the Navy
Secretary of the Air Force
Director, Defense Security Assistance Agency

SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL MONETARY AND OTHER BENEFITS RESULTING FROM AUDIT

Recommendation Reference

Description of Benefits

Amount and/or Type of Benefit

Not applicable

None identified

Not applicable

ACTIVITIES VISITED OR CONTACTED

Office of the Secretary of Defense

Comptroller of the Department of Defense, Washington, DC

Department of the Army

U.S. Army Tank and Automotive Command, Warren, MI

Department of the Navy

Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Financial Management)
Naval Supply Systems Command, Washington, DC
Navy International Logistics Control Office, Philadelphia, PA
Aviations Supply Office, Philadelphia, PA
Naval Publications and Forms Center, Philadelphia, PA

Department of the Air Force

2750th Air Base Wing, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH Bolling Air Force Base, Washington, DC

Defense Logistics Agency

Headquarters, Defense Logistics Agency, Alexandria, VA
Defense Contract Management Region, Philadelphia, PA
Defense Construction Supply Center, Columbus, OH
Defense Electronics Supply Center, Dayton, OH
Defense Finance Center, Columbus, OH
Defense Industrial Supply Center, Philadelphia, PA
Defense Personnel Support Center, Philadelphia, PA

Other Defense Activities

Defense Finance and Accounting Service - Denver Center,
Denver, CO
Defense Finance and Accounting Service - Indianapolis Center,
Indianapolis, IN
Defense Finance and Accounting Service - Washington Center,
Washington, DC
Defense Security Assistance Agency, Washington, DC
Office of the Assistant Inspector General for Criminal
Investigation, Washington, DC
Office of the Assistant Inspector General for Inspections,
Washington, DC

ACTIVITIES VISITED OR CONTACTED (cont'd)

Non-DoD Activities

General Accounting Office, Washington, DC Treasury of the United States, Washington, DC Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC

AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS

Nancy L. Butler, Director, Financial Management Directorate Alvin L. Madison, Program Director F. Jay Lane, Project Manager Samuel J. Scumaci, Acting Project Manager Frank Giordano, Auditor Leonard H. Oestrich, Auditor Carolyn B. Jones, Auditor Sherry C. Hoda, Auditor

REPORT DISTRIBUTION

Office of the Secretary of Defense

Under Secretary of Defense for Policy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Production and Logistics) Assistant Secretary of Defense (Public Affairs) Comptroller of the Department of Defense

Department of the Army

Secretary of the Army Assistant Secretary of the Army (Financial Management) U.S. Army Tank and Automotive Command

Department of the Navy

Secretary of the Navy Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Financial Management) Navy International Logistics Control Office Naval Supply Systems Command

Department of the Air Force

Secretary of the Air Force Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Financial Management and Comptroller)

Defense Logistics Agency

Headquarters, Defense Logistics Agency

REPORT DISTRIBUTION (cont'd)

Non-DoD Activities

Office of Management and Budget
U.S. General Accounting Office, NSIAD Technical
Information Center
Treasury of the United States

Congressional Committees:

Senate Subcommittee on Defense, Committee on Appropriations
Senate Committee on Armed Services
Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs
Senate Ranking Minority Member, Committee on Armed Services
House Committee on Appropriations
House Subcommittee on Defense, Committee on Appropriations
House Ranking Minority Member, Committee on Appropriations
House Committee on Armed Services
House Committee on Government Operations
House Subcommittee on Legislation and National Security,
Committee on Government Operations

INTERNET DOCUMENT INFORMATION FORM

- A . Report Title: Report on the Audit of Foreign Military Sales Trust Fund Disbursement Reporting
- B. DATE Report Downloaded From the Internet: 07/06/00
- C. Report's Point of Contact: (Name, Organization, Address, Office Symbol, & Ph #):

 OAIG-AUD (ATTN: AFTS Audit Suggestions)
 Inspector General, Department of Defense
 400 Army Navy Drive (Room 801)
 Arlington, VA 22202-2884
- D. Currently Applicable Classification Level: Unclassified
- E. Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release
- F. The foregoing information was compiled and provided by: DTIC-OCA, Initials: __VM__ Preparation Date 07/06/00

The foregoing information should exactly correspond to the Title, Report Number, and the Date on the accompanying report document. If there are mismatches, or other questions, contact the above OCA Representative for resolution.